G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

1920.

(MWZ)

File No. 370

Serial Nos. 2–6

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Return to MWZ.

Part B for Feb 22

SUBJECT.

Interview of Mr Chickkaniah Municipal Councillor re restoration of a village under Govt management to Mallikarjuna temple

---

MWZ Suptd, Dc. Tumkur

No 4277-8 dy 9. 2. 22.

Directs that no change is necessary at present

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7 21

CMS

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 370 of 1920.

Serial No.	
3.	From, the Dy. Comr, Dharwar, Dt. Letter no. 675 dt 3.9.21. Recommends that for reasons stated, the Sarvamanya Village of Venkatapura endowed to Sri Mallikarjuna Swami Temple at Haddapur, may not be restored as proposed by Chikkanniah, but continued under Govt management. The Dy. Comrs. letter explains the facts of the case. A Dft. calling for the inam extract, is submitted for approval & orders. Please peruse papers Mahazar dft no. 5164 dt 6.5.07. 2.12.21 Inam. J. no. 61-1906 is submitted (Circle Inj. No.). Submitted. Memo to Inam Supdt may be appd. 7.12.21
4	To the Inam Supdt. no. [illegible] Calls for an extract [illegible] Inam Register relating [illegible] of Venkatapura [illegible]

† This report seems to have taken nearly 2 months!

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revenue File No. 311 of 19

Serial No.

5 From The Inam Supdt. No: 155 B/12. 122

Forwards a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Venkatapura Village as Sadavamanya to Sri Mallinathaswami temple at Maddagiri

---

From the main register it is seen that the village was granted to one Brahmin for the support of the Jain Basti under the authority of Lalé Maharaja's Sannad dated 30th June 1827 and has been confirmed to the institution free of tax

Submitted for order. The Dy. Comm. Hkn. 13/1/22 gives reasons for not disturbing the present arrangements which are quite in the interests of the institution concerned. The G.O. may be recorded & Appd. & the Dy Comm. asked to reply the Bn accordingly.

16-1-22

The Existing Arrangement may be Confirmed.

Secretary 16/1/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

P.T.O.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Revd. File No. 370 of 1920-21

Serial No.

3768-13
16.1.22

I agree with the suggestion
Dew
19.1.22
Draft pf 17/1/22

Draft submitted.
Hes 17.1.22.
Bd 21.1.22

Fair Draft (Hindi)
pf 21/1/22

Fair draft submitted
Hes 21.1.22 Bd 23/1/22

Secretary pf 23/1/22

Meeting 27 Jan
Bd 24/1/22

3879-124
24.1.22.

Don't approved
Dew
26.1.22
Draft pf 26/1/22

Dewan) pf 27/1/22

Good. I wish completion
5 minutes

28-1-22

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Recd. on JAN 27 1922
Reg. No. 393

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 19

Serial No.

Submitted to the Record member for perusal please issue

84
31/1/22

4030-275
31.1.22

Sgn
Rec
1.2.22.

Sgn
4/2/22

6 To The Inspr. of Schools in respect
" D-y: Commr., Tumkur Dt.
no: 4277-82 9th Dec. 1922
Directs that no change in the present arrangement is necessary

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Interview Rel No 164
1873

MEMO OF INTERVIEW WITH THE DEWAN.

Saturday, 18th January 1924
3,70-20 Camp Maddagiri

Name of Interviewer—

Mr. Chickannaiya,

Municipal Councillor and
D.R.A. Member; a Jain.

Representation in brief—

Temple of Sri Mallinathaswami endowed with the sarvamanya of Venkatapura. The village under Government management for the past 10 - 12 years. May be restored to the managers as before. Temple in ruins. Cash tasdig: 0-6-7.

- - - - - - - - - - -

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Muzrai Secretary to Government.

By order,

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib
Private Secretary to the Dewan.

G. R. S. 26]

File No.

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 1573

No. [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat

(VII)

, *the* *191* .

To

Date of issue [illegible]

Signed by [illegible]

[illegible]

over.

SUBJECT.

[illegible]









List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPE—20 C0 —10 10 14

1921-22

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

The Mudrai Secretary to Government of Mysore, BANGALORE.

Dated the 3rd September 1921.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Requesting that the management of Venkt pura Sarvamanya village may not be ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ to restored to private management butt kept under Government management alone.

****

H C (Rev.) 675 /1920-21

Cancelled

08423

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District,
Dated Tumkur, the 3rd September 1921.

884
21 22
370-20
3

From

G.W. Rev. Ananda Rao S.Sirsi, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,
4 - SEP 1921
T U M K U R.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,
B A N G A L O R E.

S i r,

With reference to your letter No.2393/Muzrai370-20-2 dated the 3rd February 1921, calling for a report on the petition of Mr. Chikkannaiya, Municipal Councillor of Maddagiri praying for the restoration of the management of Sarvamanya Venkatapura, a village endowed to Sree Mallinatha Swami temple at Maddagiri, I have the honour to forward herewith the records ending with Jeadi No.Reference on H.C.R.190 of 1920-21 dated the 1st June 1921, received from the Sub Division Officer, Maddagiri.

2. From the report No.573 dated the 29th March 1921 submitted by the Amildar, Maddagiri, and forming part of the records it is seen that the management of the temple affairs and of the village was not satisfactory and this called for Governmentxxx interference. As per Government Order No.5164 dated the 6th May 1907 the village Sarvamanya Venkatapura was brought under Government management from the year 1907-08.

3. The bataji lands in the village have been leased out in public auction for a period of five years from 1916-17 for an annual rent of Rs.100/-, the lessee being bound to pay in addition the kandayam due on the lands. The annual income of the village is estimated at Rs.260/- and a scale of expenditure proportionate to the income has been sanctioned from the year 1918-1919.

4. [illegible] lands of the village were being leased temporarily to the petitioner Chikkannaiya for an annual gutta

gutta of Rs.80/-. He and the ryots did never agree and therewere misunderstandings between the parties. There are not many devotees to this temple and the Archak prays that the village may be continued under Government management as heretofore, for fear of misuse of the temple income etc. Both the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer recommend the continuance of present arrangement .

5. Under these circumstances, I am of opinion that in the interests of the temple, the Sarvamanya village may not be restored as proposed by Chikkannaiya but continued under Government management,

I have the honour to be,

sir,

your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

BK.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191*

Date of issue 5. 1. 922

Signed by Mudaliyar

Section Misc

File No. 370-20

Serial No. 4

No. 327

To

The Asst. Supt.

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to furnish this office with an extract of the detailed index register pertaining to the grant of Venkatapuram village as Sarvamanyam to Sri Mallikarjuna Swami Temple &c.

over.

Maddagiri

I have elc.

DD) Insp. Secretary.

26/5/1925

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

No. 155

From

Office of the Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey,
Dated, Bangalore / 2nd January 1922.

2791

D. K. Darashah, Esq., B.A.,

Superintendent of Revenue Survey and
Inam Settlements in Mysore.

21-22

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

370-20
5

Muzrai Department.

Sir,

As requested in your letter No.3297/Muz.370-20-4 dated 5-1-1922, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Venkatapur village as sarvamanya to Sri Mallinathaswami temple at Maddagiri.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D K Darashah

Superintendent

Register Sheet No 75

Taluk - Maddagiri

Hobli - Kaveri

Village - Venkatapura Sm

Copy of the Register of Inams in the village of Venkatapura Pm, in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent: Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent: Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
		Pymaishy account 1807		
1	Devadaya			
		Area of the village		155-43
		Deduct village Sthe & Nalayak etc		53-29
		Remainder		102-14
		Deduct Jari inams		4
		Remainder		102-14
		Particulars.		
		Cultivation		45-27
		Banjar		56-87
				102-14
		Inamdar's pymaishy		Acres
		Area of the village		125 41
		Deduct Nalayakete.		19-30
				106 11
		Cultivated	Dry	88-83
			Wet	1-72
		Remained	Dry	6-64
			Wet	9-12
				106-11

the wet is carried by the Kapila wells.

Summat of Haveli in the Taluk of Maddagiri

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9
Deriz Rs 52-5-10		For the support of the Jain Basti temple which is in good order and puja performed.	Free.

in the District

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted, and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents and other proof of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11
Mysore Late Mysore Late Maharaja 1827	Maharaja's Sannad (original) dated 30th June 1827 ✓ directing that the village be made over to Brahmans for the support the Basti Devasthan.

Annual Collection.

Land Revenue.

1859	94-10-8
" 60	82-2-4
" 61	98-14-0
" 62	100-5-8
" 63	105-11-6
" 64	75-15-9
" 65	72-11-5
" 66	56-6-4
" 67	141-12-2
" 68	99-14-6
	923-8-4

Average Rs 93-4-0.

There appears to be no Mohatarfa revenue at present in the village. Should there be any hereafter, it may be left to the Inamdar Sanad & Akkani are reserved to the State. The right to forest is subject to the reservation contained in the Chief Commissioner's Proclamation of June 1869 (quoted) P.C. Ref Proc

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poonnah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
Bash Devastan		Sarvamanya account 1831 Jaun Bash temple Beriz Pagodas 18—0—0
		do account 1837 Jaun Bash temple Beriz Pagodas 29—0—7

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, &c	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

Maddagi

Jain Bask

Devastan

The village is in the enjoyment of the manager Padmanamah and Ruthiswamy, the former is the widowed daughter-in-law and the latter nephew of Brahmaih, mentioned in the Sannad.

Boundaries

East - Gopagundanahally Kumbara Kariya + Ninnadasy's field + Honge tree

West - Bakkanahally Dawarma Gri and Kun Era's fields boundary.

North - Gopagondanahally Hola Kun Bhumday.

South - Ba tharah Hola, Talawa Thimma's Kolli H

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant to the Commissioner of Inams
19	
This is a valid grant, and contains no boundary dispute. To be confirmed free of tax so long as the object of the grant is fulfilled. (Sd) C. Subba Rao Assistant 12.3.1870	The grant is unquestionably valid. To be confirmed to the Institution in col 15 free of tax. (Initialled) Illegible Special Assistant 19.8.70 Confirmed free of tax (Sd) J Clark Inam Commissioner Sept 23 70 "Copy" Superintendent Revenue Survey & Inam Settlement

Mug 370-20-6

proceedings of the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read --

1. para 8 of G.O.No.5164, dated the 6th May 1907, directing the assumption by Gover-ment of the management of the Inam village of Venkatapura, endowed to Sri Mallinathaswami Bhasti at Maddagiri.

2. petition dated the 18th January 1921, received from Mr. Chikkanniya, Municipal Councillor and Representative Assembly Member, Maddagiri, praying for the restoration of the management of the Venkatapur village to the Managers of the institution.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.H.C.(Rev.) 675 of 20-21, dated the 3rd December 1921, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, submitting a report on the subject.

O r d e r -- No. 4277-8 Revenue
Mug. 370-20-6

Dated Bangalore, 9
February 22

The village was granted to one Brahmiah for the support of the Jain Bhasti under a Sannad dated 30th June 1827 and confirmed to the institution at the Inam Settlement. The affairs of the temple having been unsatisfactory, it was taken under Government management in pursuance of the directions given in the Government order

Govt 2
4-3

order read above.

2. The batayi lands in the village, which had been leased out temporarily to the petitioner for Rs.30/- per annum are now reported to have been leased out for a period of 5 years from 1916-17 for an annual rental of Rs.100/-, the lessee being also bound to pay in addition, the kandayam due on the lands. The annual income of the village is estimated at Rs.260/- and a scale of expenditure has been sanctioned with effect from 1918-19. It is reported that the temple has not got many devotees and that the Archak has represented that the village may continue to be under Government management as heretofore. The local officers are also of the same opinion.

3. In these circumstance, Government are pleased to direct that the present arrangement be continued. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to inform the petitioner accordingly.

26-1-22

23/1/22

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

23/1/22

To

The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore.

The ~~Commissioner~~ Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dist.,

~~and~~ returning the vernacular records.

GKV